

- Suppose that  $a \nu b \nu c$  then there exists  $x, y \in A$  with

$$a \rho x \lambda b \lambda y \rho c.$$

(Note that first we use that  $\nu = \rho \circ \lambda$ , and next we use that  $\nu = \lambda \circ \rho$ .)  
From  $x \lambda b \lambda y$  we have  $x \lambda y$ , so

$$a \rho x \lambda y \rho c.$$

Therefore  $x \nu c$  hence there exists  $z \in A$  such that  $x \rho z \lambda c$ , therefore  $a \rho z \lambda c$  and hence  $a \nu c$ . Therefore  $\nu$  is transitive.

We have shown that  $\nu$  is an equivalence relation. If  $(a, b) \in \rho$  then  $a \rho b \lambda b$  so  $(a, b) \in \nu$ . Similarly if  $(a, b) \in \lambda$  then  $a \rho a \lambda b$  so  $(a, b) \in \nu$ . Hence  $\rho \cup \lambda \subseteq \nu$ .

Now, suppose  $\rho \cup \lambda \subseteq \tau$  where  $\tau$  is an equivalence relation. Let  $(a, b) \in \nu$ . Then we have  $a \rho c \lambda b$  for some  $c$ . Hence  $a \tau c \tau b$  so  $a \tau b$  as  $\tau$  is transitive. Therefore  $\nu \subseteq \tau$ .  $\square$

The smallest equivalence relation containing any  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\rho \vee \lambda$ ; we have shown that if  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$  commute, then  $\rho \vee \lambda = \rho \circ \lambda$ .

**DEFINITION 6.4.**  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ , i.e.  $a \mathcal{D} b \Leftrightarrow \exists c \in S$  with  $a \mathcal{R} c \mathcal{L} b$ .

**Lemma 6.5** (The  $\mathcal{D}$  Lemma).  $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$

*Proof.* We prove that  $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ , the proof of the other direction being dual. Suppose that  $a \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} b$ . Then there exists  $c \in S$  with

$$a \mathcal{R} c \mathcal{L} b$$

There exists  $u, v, s, t \in S^1$  with

$$\begin{array}{cccc} a = cu & c = av & c = sb & b = tc. \\ (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) \end{array}$$

Put  $d = bu$  then we have

$$\begin{array}{l} a = cu = sbu = sd, \\ (1) \quad (3) \\ d = bu = tcu = ta. \\ (4) \quad (1) \end{array}$$

Therefore  $a \mathcal{L} d$ . Also

$$b = tc = tav = tcuv = buv = dv.$$

(4) (2) (1) (4)

Therefore  $b \mathcal{R} d$  and hence  $a \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} b$ .  $\square$

Hence  $\mathcal{D}$  is an equivalence relation and  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \vee \mathcal{R}$ .

By definition

