

# Chapter 7

## The Dual Code and the Parity-Check Matrix

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $x, y \in V(n, q)$ . Then

$$x \cdot y = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \cdots + x_ny_n$$

is the *scalar product* of  $x$  and  $y$ .

If  $x \cdot y = 0$ , then  $x$  and  $y$  are *orthogonal*.

**Note 7.2.** The scalar product satisfies the following:

- (i)  $(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot y + y \cdot z$ ;
- (ii)  $(\lambda x \cdot y) = \lambda(x \cdot y)$ ;
- (iii)  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ .

**Definition 7.3.** Given an  $[n, k]$ -code  $C$ , the *dual code*  $C^\perp$  is given by

$$C^\perp = \{x \in V(n, q) \mid x \cdot y = 0, \text{ for all } y \in C\}.$$

**Example 7.4.** (i)

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \{0000, 1001, 0110, 1111\}, \\ C^\perp &= \{0000, 1001, 0110, 1111\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \{0000, 1000, 0100, 1100\}, \\ C^\perp &= \{0000, 0010, 0001, 0011\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 7.5.** If  $C$  is an  $[n, k]$ -code with generator matrix  $G$ , then

- (i)  $C^\perp$  is a linear code;
- (ii)  $C^\perp = \{x \in V(n, q) \mid xG^T = 0\}$ ; that is,  $x$  is orthogonal to every row of  $G$ .

**Proof** (i) If  $y, y' \in C^\perp$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= x \cdot y' = 0 \text{ for all } x \in C \\ \Rightarrow x \cdot (y + y') &= 0 \text{ for all } x \in C, \\ x \cdot (\lambda y) &= 0 \text{ for all } x \in C. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$xG^T = 0 \iff x[r_1^T, \dots, r_k^T] = 0 \iff x \cdot r_i^T = 0 \text{ for all } i \iff x \cdot r_i = 0 \text{ for all } i,$$

where  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  are the rows of  $G$ . □

**Definition 7.6.** A *parity-check matrix*  $H$  for an  $[n, k]$ -code  $C$  is an  $(n - k) \times n$  matrix which is a generator matrix for  $C^\perp$ .

**Theorem 7.7.** (i) If  $C$  is an  $[n, k]$ -code over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , then  $C^\perp$  is an  $[n, n - k]$ -code over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

(ii) If  $G = [I_k \ A]$ , then a generator matrix for  $C^\perp$  is  $H = [-A^T \ I_{n-k}]$ .

**Proof** (i) By Lemma 7.5,  $C^\perp$  is a linear code of length  $n$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . If  $G$  is a generator matrix for  $C$ , with rows  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  and columns  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , then

$$G = [c_1, \dots, c_n] = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider  $\varphi : V(n, q) \longrightarrow V(k, q)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} x \mapsto xG^T &= x[r_1^T, \dots, r_k^T] \\ &= (x \cdot r_1, \dots, x \cdot r_k) \\ &= x_1 c_1^T + \dots + x_n c_n^T \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$n = \dim(\ker \varphi) + \dim(\text{im } \varphi). \tag{7.1}$$

As  $\text{rank } G = k$ , considering  $\text{im } \varphi$  in terms of the columns of  $G$ , so  $\dim(\text{im } \varphi) = k$ . Hence, from (7.1)  $\dim(\ker \varphi) = n - k$ .

Aliter, let  $G = [I_k \ A]$  be a generator matrix for  $C$ , then  $x \in C^\perp \iff Gx^T = 0$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1,n-k} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2,n-k} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & & \cdots & 1 & a_{k1} & \cdots & a_{k,n-k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + a_{11}x_{k+1} + \cdots + a_{1,n-k}x_n &= 0, \\ x_2 + a_{21}x_{k+1} + \cdots + a_{2,n-k}x_n &= 0, \\ \vdots & \\ x_k + a_{k1}x_{k+1} + \cdots + a_{k,n-k}x_n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So any choice can be made for  $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$ ; then  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are determined. Hence  $C^\perp = q^{n-k}$ . Hence  $\dim C^\perp = n - k$ .

(ii)  $G = [I_k \ A]$ ,  $H = [-A^T \ I_{n-k}]$ ,  $\text{rank } H = n - k$ . Then

$$GH^T = [I_k \ A] \begin{bmatrix} -A \\ I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} = I_k(-A) + AI_{n-k} = -A + A = 0.$$

So  $HG^T = 0$ ; that is, the rows  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-k}$  of  $H$  are in  $C^\perp$ . But  $\text{rank } H = n - k$ ; so  $H$  is a generator matrix for  $C^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Example 7.8.**  $C_2 = \{000, 011, 101, 110\}$  is a  $[3, 2]_2$ -code

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = [1 \ 1 \ 1].$$

**Theorem 7.9.** *The following are equivalent conditions on  $H$ :*

- (i)  $H$  is a parity-check matrix for  $C$ ;
- (ii)  $Hx^T = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ ;
- (iii)  $xH^T = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ .

**Note 7.10.** (i)  $\text{rank } G = k$ ,  $\text{rank } H = n - k$ ;

(ii)  $C$  is equally well-specified by  $G$  or  $H$ ;

(iii) If  $G = [I_k \ A]$  then a suitable parity-check matrix is  $H = [-A^T \ I_{n-k}]$ .

**Theorem 7.11.** *If  $C$  is an  $[n, k]_q$ -code then  $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$ .*

**Proof** If  $x \in C$ , then  $x \cdot y = 0$  for all  $y \in C^\perp$ . So  $x \in (C^\perp)^\perp$ . But

$$\dim (C^\perp)^\perp = n - (n - k) = k.$$

Hence  $C \subset (C^\perp)^\perp$ . As  $\dim C = \dim (C^\perp)^\perp$ , so  $C = (C^\perp)^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.12.** If  $H = [B, I_{n-k}]$  it is in *standard form*.

**Example 7.13.**  $C_3 = \{00000, 01101, 10110, 11011\}$  is a  $[5, 2]$ -code. Then, with

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2, x_1, x_2) \in C_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= 0, \\ x_1 + x_4 &= 0, \\ x_2 + x_5 &= 0, \\ x &= (x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2, x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $C_3^\perp$  is a  $[5, 3]$ -code.

**Explanation for the term *parity-check matrix*** If  $u = u_1 \cdots u_k v_1 \cdots v_{n-k}$ , where the message symbols are  $u_1 \cdots u_k$ ,

$$Hu^T = 0,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_k & e_1 & e_2 & \cdots & e_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_k \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-k} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & | & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_k \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1k} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ b_{21} & \cdots & b_{2k} & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n-k,1} & \cdots & b_{n-k,k} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_k \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^k b_{ij} u_j + v_i = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-k.$$

As  $b_{ij} = -a_{ji}$ , so the symbols  $v_i$  are determined.

## Syndrome Decoding

**Definition 7.14.** Let  $H$  be a parity-check matrix for the  $[n, k]$ -code  $C$ . Then for any  $y \in V(n, q)$ ,

$$s_H(y) = yH^T = (Hy^T)^T$$

is the *syndrome* of  $y$ , a vector of length  $n - k$ .

**Lemma 7.15.** (i)  $yH^T = 0 \iff y \in C$ ;

(ii)  $x + C = y + C \iff x$  and  $y$  have the same syndrome;

(iii) There exists a one to one correspondence between cosets and syndrome.

**Proof** (i) This is by definition.

(ii)  $x + C = y + C \iff x - y \in C \iff (x - y)H^T = 0 \iff xH^T = yH^T$ .

(iii) This follows from (ii).

□

**Algorithm 7.16.** I. Set up 1-1 correspondence between coset leaders and syndromes.

II. If  $y$  is a received vector, calculate the syndrome  $s = yH^T$ .

III. Find coset leader  $e$  associated to  $s$ .

IV. Correct  $y$  to  $y - e$ .

Now much less needs to be stored, namely just coset leaders and syndromes.

**Example 7.17.**  $C_3 = \{00000, 10110, 01101, 11011\}$  Single error-correcting  $[5, 2]$ -code.

$$G = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad H = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{coset leader} \quad 00000 \mid 10000 \mid 01000 \mid 00100 \mid 00010 \mid 00001 \mid 11000 \mid 10001 \\ \text{syndrome} \quad \quad 000 \mid 110 \mid 101 \mid 100 \mid 010 \mid 001 \mid 011 \mid 111 \end{array}$$

If the received message appears in the last two cosets we need to ask for retransmission, since the weight of the coset leader is 2.

(i)  $y = 11110$ ,  $yH^T = 101$ ,  $e = 01000$ ,

$$x = y - e = y + e = 10110.$$

(ii)  $y = 01100$ ,  $yH^T = s = 001$ ,  $e = 00001$ ,

$$x = y + e = 01101.$$

(iii)  $y = 11100$ ,  $yH^T = 111$ ,  $e = 10001$ ,

ask for retransmission.

**Theorem 7.18.** Let  $C$  be an  $[n, k]$ -code with parity-check matrix  $H$ . Then

$$d(C) = d = \min_{x \neq y} d(x, y)$$

if and only if some  $d$  columns of  $H$  are linearly dependent but every  $d-1$  columns are linearly independent.

**Proof** Let the columns of  $H$  be  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , that is,  $H = [c_1, \dots, c_n]$ . Then  $x \in C$ , with  $x = x_1 \cdots x_n$ , if and only if  $Hx^T = 0$ ; that is,

$$x_1c_1 + \cdots + x_nc_n = 0.$$

Now,  $x$  has weight  $d-1 \iff \exists j_1, \dots, j_{d-1} \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{d-1}} \neq 0$  and all other  $x_j = 0 \iff x_{j_1}c_{j_1} + \cdots + x_{j_{d-1}}c_{j_{d-1}} = 0$ . Hence there exists no word of weight  $d-1$  if and only if every  $d-1$  columns are linearly independent.

Similarly  $x$  is a word of weight  $d$  if and only if there exists  $i_1, \dots, i_d \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_d} \neq 0$  and all other  $x_i = 0$ ; this occurs if and only if  $x_{i_1}c_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_d}c_{i_d} = 0$ . Hence there exists a word of weight  $d$  if and only if some  $d$  columns are linearly dependent.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.19.** (Singleton bound) For an  $[n, k, d]$ -code,

$$d \leq n - k + 1.$$

**Proof** As every  $d - 1$  columns of  $H$  are linearly independent,  $\text{rank}(H) = n - k \geq d - 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 7.20.** (i) Ternary  $[4, 2]$ -code with parity-check matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d = 3.$$

(ii) Binary  $[5, 2]$ -code with parity-check matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d = 3.$$

(iii) Binary  $[8, 4]$ -code with parity-check matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d = 4.$$

**Definition 7.21.** An  $[n, k, d]$ -code over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  with  $d = n - k + 1$  is *maximum distance separable*, abbreviated MDS.